



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,275	05/16/2002	Masahiro Serizawa	G0126.0213	3300

32172 7590 09/19/2011  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1633 Broadway  
NEW YORK, NY 10019

EXAMINER
----------

HE, JIALONG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2626

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/19/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/980,275	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SERIZAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JIALONG HE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JIALONG HE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Scheer (Reg. 34,425). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 September 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐101    ☐112    ☐102    ☐103    ☒Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1,34 and 57.

Identification of prior art discussed: EP 0751490 and Application background.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Mr. Scheer filed RCE by amending independent claims 1, 34 and 57. The Examiner believes the amended claims are still obvious over cited prior art (particularly in view of primary referenec and application background). The Examiner suggests further clarify the claimed invention and ditinguish with the cited prior art by including a feature disclosed in Specification (page 21-22, equation 5). Mr. Scheer consults with his client and agrees to amend independent claims according to Examiner's suggestion. Mr. Scheer will file a supplimental amendment.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/JIALONG HE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2626	
---	--